	1	
1		
2	DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney	
3	ELHAM ROOHANI	
	Nevada Bar No. 12080 Assistant United States Attorney	
4	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 PHONE: (702) 388-6336	
5		
6	EMAIL: elham.roohani@usdoj.gov	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
0	-oOo-	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:17-cr-73-APG-GWF
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
11	vs.	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
12	JUSTIN ANTHONY FISHER	MOTION TO ALLOW DEFENDANTS TO REVIEW
	and	EVIDENCE TOGETHER (ECF No.
13	JOSHUA RAY FISHER,	98)
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	AGREED, by and between DAYLE
17	ELIESON, United States Attorney, and Elham Roohani, Assistant United States	
18	Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and THOMAS PITARO, counsel for	
19	defendant JUSTIN ANTHONY FISHER, that the Government's response to	
20	defendant's Motion to Allow Defendants to Review Evidence Together (ECF No. 98), in	
21	the above-captioned matter, be continued for 60 days.	
22	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:	
23	1. The Government has recently provide	ed to defense counsel newly discovered
24	evidence that bears on the Governme	ent's position regarding the above

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23

24

referenced motion. Government counsel requires additional time to consult with the affected parties, and defense counsel to potentially resolve the motion short of judicial intervention. The parties anticipate that either the defense will withdraw the motion, or the Government will not oppose the motion thereby rendering the motion moot. It would converse judicial resources to grant the continuance.

- 2. Defense Counsel has no objection to the continuance.
- 3. The Defendants are in custody but do not object to the continuance.
- 4. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 5. This is the <u>first request</u> for a continuance of deadline for the Government to respond to the defendant's Motion to Allow Defendants to Review Evidence Together.

Dated this 23rd day of July, 2018.

DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney

/s/ ELHAM ROOHANI Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ THOMAS PITARO, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant Justin Fisher

/s/ WILLIAM TERRY, ESQ. Counsel for Defendant Joshua Fisher

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,} \\ \text{Plaintiff,} \end{array}$

2:17-cr-73-APG-GWF

ORDER

vs.

JUSTIN ANTHONY FISHER and JOSHUA RAY FISHER,

_____ Defendants.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. The Government has recently provided to defense counsel newly discovered evidence that bears on the Government's position regarding the above referenced motion. Government counsel requires additional time to consult with the affected parties, and defense counsel to potentially resolve the motion short of judicial intervention. The parties anticipate that either the defense will withdraw the motion, or the Government will not oppose the motion thereby rendering the motion moot. It would converse judicial resources to grant the continuance.
- 2. Defense Counsel has no objection to the continuance.
- 3. The Defendants are in custody but do not object to the continuance.
- 4. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 5. This is the <u>first request</u> for a continuance of deadline for the Government to

1 respond to the defendant's Motion to Allow Defendants to Review Evidence 2 Together. 3 4 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 5 Based on the fact that the parties have agreed to the continuance; based on the 6 fact that the defendant does not object to the continuance; and based on the fact that 7 denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice, the Court 8 hereby concludes that: 9 The ends of justice are served by granting said continuance, since the failure to 10 grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice and would 11 deny adequate response to the pending Motion to Allow Defendants to Review 12 Evidence Together, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 13 **ORDER** 14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the deadline for the Government to respond 15 to defendant's Motion to Allow Defendants to Review Evidence Together is hereby 16 reset to October 5, 2018. 17 18 George Foling Jr. Dated: 7/24/18 19 20 HONORABLE GEORGE W. FOLEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22

23

24